

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/636,781	08/11/2000	Axel Burmeister	Beiersdorf 630- 8467	
75	7590 03/16/2004		EXAMINER	
Norris McLaughlin & Marcus P A			LEE, RIP A	
220 East 42nd Street 30th Floor			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1713 DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004	
New York, NY 10017				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/636,781	BURMEISTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Rip A. Lee	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1 '	·	ddress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	<u>ecember 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
· ··	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-10,12 and 13 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) 8-10,12 and 13 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	ГО-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This office action follows a response filed on December 29, 2003. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 remain for prosecution. Non-elected claims have not been rejoined at this time.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,860,673 to Lawrence for the same reasons set forth previously (see previous office action and discussion below).

Response to Arguments

2. Applicants traverse the rejection of claims 1-3 and 5-7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) in view of Lawrence. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the claims at hand, Applicants submit that the material of the prior art does not meet the present claims because it is derived from solution, and therefore, it is not a hot-melt pressure sensitive adhesive. While the materials are combined in solution, it is also noted that the resulting blend is applied as a thin film, and it was allowed to dry. Subsequently, the material was activated with infrared irradiation at 80 °C, and then the strips onto which the adhesive material was applied were pressed together. The material once applied is dry, and irradiation effects crosslinking. Application of heat would serve to melt the composition, and then pressure is applied to the strips so that they can be adhered together. Arguably, the final product, once solvent is removed, qualifies as hot melt adhesive.

Application/Control Number: 09/636,781

Art Unit: 1713

Applicants decry the current rejection with the allegation that the examiner has treated the prosecution as a "scavenger hunt." Clearly, there is no basis for this statement. Present claim 1 is directed to a composition comprised of 100 pw non-thermoplastic elastomer, 1-200 pw tackifier, and a mixture of blocking agent free isocyanates in an amount to provide 6 mmole – 5 mole of NCO groups. Use of a mixture of isocyanates is discussed in claim 7, and it is shown in Example 2. In this case, there are about 0.48 g NCO groups per 100 g of base resin. The example also teaches use of tackifier resin in an amount of 25 pw. The claimed features have been laid out clearly without culling isolated parts (so called "picking and choosing") to build up an embodiment that meets the Applicant's claims.

In view of the discussion above, the rejection of record has not been withdrawn.

Applicant's comments, not germane to the claims, have been noted. Applicants are reminded that amendments to claims raise new considerations. Therefore, examination of amended claims may require different search strategies. In this event, a previously cited reference may be viewed with a different perspective. There is no rule stating that art applied in an obviousness-type rejection can not be used later in an anticipation rejection. Furthermore, the examiner is not barred from using "recycled" references. Lastly, technical problems associated with facsimile transmission may be obviated by correspondence through the U.S. Postal service.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. The prior art made of record but not relied upon is considered pertinent to the Applicant's disclosure. The following references teach adhesive compositions comprised of blends of isocyanates.

U.S. Patent No. 5,977,283 to Rossitto

U.S. Patent No. 5,888,650 to Calhoun et al.

U.S. Patent No. 3,692,720 to Sloan

Application/Control Number: 09/636,781

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rip A. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-1104. The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571)272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on the access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

ral

March 10, 2004

DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700